WEST VIRGINIA LEGISLATURE

2021 REGULAR SESSION

Committee Substitute

for

Senate Bill 79

BY SENATORS TRUMP AND WOELFEL

[Originating in the Committee on the Judiciary;

reported on February 19, 2021]

A BILL to amend and reenact §48-9-403 of the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended, all
 relating to providing a fair mechanism for the adjudication of requests for relocation of a
 parent with a child.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of West Virginia:

ARTICLE 9. ALLOCATION OF CUSTODIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND DECISION-MAKING RESPONSIBILITY OF CHILDREN.

PART IV. MODIFICATION OF PARENTING PLAN.

§48-9-403. Relocation of a parent.

1 (a) The relocation of a parent constitutes a substantial change in the circumstances under 2 subsection 9-401(a) of the child only when it significantly impairs either parent's ability to exercise 3 responsibilities that the parent has been exercising. 4 (b) Unless otherwise ordered by the court, a parent who has responsibility under a 5 parenting plan who changes, or intends to change, residences for more than ninety days must 6 give a minimum of sixty days' advance notice, or the most notice practicable under the 7 circumstances, to any other parent with responsibility under the same parenting plan. Notice shall 8 include: 9 (1) The relocation date: 10 (2) The address of the intended new residence; 11 (3) The specific reasons for the proposed relocation; 12 (4) A proposal for how custodial responsibility shall be modified, in light of the intended 13 move; and 14 (5) Information for the other parent as to how he or she may respond to the proposed 15 relocation or modification of custodial responsibility. 16 Failure to comply with the notice requirements of this section without good cause may be

17 a factor in the determination of whether the relocation is in good faith under subsection (d) of this

section and is a basis for an award of reasonable expenses and reasonable attorney's fees to
 another parent that are attributable to such failure.

20 The Supreme Court of Appeals shall make available through the offices of the circuit clerks 21 and the secretary-clerks of the family courts a form notice that complies with the provisions of this 22 subsection. The Supreme Court of Appeals shall promulgate procedural rules that provide for an 23 expedited hearing process to resolve issues arising from a relocation or proposed relocation.

(c) When changed circumstances are shown under subsection (a) of this section, the court
 shall, if practical, revise the parenting plan so as to both accommodate the relocation and maintain
 the same proportion of custodial responsibility being exercised by each of the parents. In making
 such revision, the court may consider the additional costs that a relocation imposes upon the
 respective parties for transportation and communication, and may equitably allocate such costs
 between the parties.

30 (d) When the relocation constituting changed circumstances under subsection (a) of this
 31 section renders it impractical to maintain the same proportion of custodial responsibility as that
 32 being exercised by each parent, the court shall modify the parenting plan in accordance with the
 33 child's best interests and in accordance with the following principles:

34 (1) A parent who has been exercising a significant majority of the custodial responsibility 35 for the child should be allowed to relocate with the child so long as that parent shows that the 36 relocation is in good faith for a legitimate purpose and to a location that is reasonable in light of 37 the purpose. The percentage of custodial responsibility that constitutes a significant majority of 38 custodial responsibility is seventy percent or more. A relocation is for a legitimate purpose if it is 39 to be close to significant family or other support networks, for significant health reasons, to protect 40 the safety of the child or another member of the child's household from significant risk of harm, to 41 pursue a significant employment or educational opportunity or to be with one's spouse who is 42 established, or who is pursuing a significant employment or educational opportunity, in another 43 location. The relocating parent has the burden of proving of the legitimacy of any other purpose.

A move with a legitimate purpose is reasonable unless its purpose is shown to be substantially
achievable without moving or by moving to a location that is substantially less disruptive of the
other parent's relationship to the child.

47 (2) If a relocation of the parent is in good faith for legitimate purpose and to a location that 48 is reasonable in light of the purpose and if neither has been exercising a significant majority of 49 custodial responsibility for the child, the court shall reallocate custodial responsibility based on 50 the best interest of the child, taking into account all relevant factors including the effects of the 51 relocation on the child.

52 (3) If a parent does not establish that the purpose for that parent's relocation is in good 53 faith for a legitimate purpose into a location that is reasonable in light of the purpose, the court 54 may modify the parenting plan in accordance with the child's best interests and the effects of the 55 relocation on the child. Among the modifications the court may consider is a reallocation of primary 56 custodial responsibility, effective if and when the relocation occurs, but such a reallocation shall 57 not be ordered if the relocating parent demonstrates that the child's best interests would be served 58 by the relocation.

59 (4) The court shall attempt to minimize impairment to a parent-child relationship caused
by a parent's relocation through alternative arrangements for the exercise of custodial
61 responsibility appropriate to the parents' resources and circumstances and the developmental
62 level of the child.

(e) In determining the proportion of caretaking functions each parent previously performed
 for the child under the parenting plan before relocation, the court may not consider a division of
 functions arising from any arrangements made after a relocation but before a modification hearing
 on the issues related to relocation.

(f) In determining the effect of the relocation or proposed relocation on a child, any
 interviewing or questioning of the child shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of

- rule 17 of the rules of practice and procedure for family law as promulgated by the Supreme Court
 of Appeals.
- 71 (a) The relocation of a parent constitutes a substantial change in the circumstances of the 72 child under §48-9-401(a) of this code when it impairs either parent's ability to exercise 73 responsibilities that the parent has been exercising, or when it impairs the schedule of custodial allocation that has been ordered by the court for a parent or any other person. 74 75 (b) A parent who has responsibility under a parenting plan who changes, or intends to 76 change, residences must file a verified petition with the court for modification of the parenting 77 plan, and cause a copy of the same to be served upon the other parent and upon all other persons 78 who, pursuant to the court's order in effect at the time of the petition, have been allocated custodial 79 time with the child. The petition shall be filed at least 75 days prior to any relocation, and the 80 summons must be served at least 45 days in advance of any relocation, unless the relocating 81 parent establishes that it was impracticable under the circumstances to provide such notice 75 82 days in advance. The verified petition shall include: 83 (1) The proposed relocation date; 84 (2) The address of the intended new residence; 85 (3) The specific reasons for the proposed relocation; 86 (4) A proposal for how custodial responsibility shall be modified, in light of the intended 87 move; and 88 (5) A request for a hearing. 89 Failure to comply with the requirements of this section may be a factor in the determination 90 of whether the relocation is in good faith under subsection (d) of this section, and may also be a 91 basis for reallocation of the primary residence and custodial responsibility for the child and for an 92 award of reasonable expenses and reasonable attorney's fees to another parent or another 93 person exercising custodial responsibility for the child pursuant to an order of the court that are
- 94 <u>attributable to such failure.</u>

95	(c) A hearing on the petition shall be held by the court at least 30 days in advance of the
96	proposed date of relocation. A parent proposing to relocate may move for an expedited hearing
97	upon the petition in circumstances under which the parent needs an answer expeditiously. If the
98	hearing is held fewer than 30 days in advance of the proposed date of relocation, the court's order
99	shall include findings of fact as to why the hearing was not held at least 30 days prior to the
100	petition's proposed date of relocation. After a hearing upon a petition filed under this section, the
101	court shall, if practical, revise the parenting plan so as to both accommodate the relocation and
102	maintain the same proportion of custodial responsibility being exercised by each of the parents
103	and all such other persons exercising custodial responsibility for the child pursuant to the order of
104	the court. In making such revision, the court may consider the additional costs that a relocation
105	imposes upon the respective parties for transportation and communication, and may equitably
106	allocate such costs between the parties and may consider §48-13-702 of this code authorizing
107	the court to disregard the child support formula relating to long distance visitation costs.
108	(d) (1) At the hearing held pursuant to this section, the relocating parent has the burden
109	of proving that: (A) The reasons for the proposed relocation are legitimate and made in good faith;
110	(B) that allowing relocation of the relocating parent with the child is in the best interests of the
111	child as defined in §48-9-102 of this code; and (C) that there is no reasonable alternative, other
112	than the proposed relocation, available to the relocating parent that would be in the child's best
113	interests and less disruptive to the child.
114	(2) A relocation is for a legitimate purpose if it is to be close to immediate family members,
115	for substantial health reasons, to protect the safety of the child or another member of the child's
116	household from significant risk of harm, to pursue a significant employment or educational
117	opportunity, or to be with one's spouse or significant other with whom the relocating parent has
118	cohabitated for at least a year, who is established, or who is pursuing a significant employment
119	or educational opportunity, in another location.

120	(3) The relocating parent has the burden of proving the proposed relocation is for one of
121	these legitimate purposes. The relocating parent has the burden of proving the legitimacy of any
122	other purpose. A move with a legitimate purpose is unreasonable unless the relocating parent
123	proves that the purpose is not substantially achievable without moving, and that moving to a
124	location that is substantially less disruptive of the other parent's relationship to the child is not
125	feasible.
126	(4) When the relocation is for a legitimate purpose, in good faith, and renders it impractical
127	to maintain the same proportion of custodial responsibility as that being exercised by each parent
128	and all other persons exercising custodial responsibility for the child pursuant to an order of the
129	court, the court shall modify the parenting plan in accordance with the child's best interests.
130	(5) If the relocating parent does not establish that the purpose for that parent's relocation
131	is made in good faith for a legitimate purpose to a location that is reasonable in light of the
132	purpose, the court may modify the parenting plan in accordance with the child's best interests and
133	the effects of the relocation on the child. Among the modifications the court may consider is a
134	reallocation of primary custodial responsibility, to become effective if and when the parent's
135	relocation occurs.
136	(6) The court shall attempt to minimize impairment to a parent-child relationship caused
137	by a parent's relocation through alternative arrangements for the exercise of custodial
138	responsibility appropriate to the parents' resources and circumstances and the developmental
139	level of the child.
140	(e) If the parties file with the court a modified parenting plan signed by all the parties the
141	court may enter an order modifying custodial responsibility in accordance with the parenting plan
142	if the court determines that the parenting plan is in the best interest of the child to do so.
143	(f) Except in extraordinary circumstance articulated in the court's order, a relocation may
144	not be considered until an initial permanent parenting plan is established.

- 145 (g) In determining the effect of the relocation or proposed relocation on a child, any
- 146 interviewing or questioning of the child shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of
- 147 Rule 17 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure for Family Court as promulgated by the Supreme
- 148 Court of Appeals.